

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,708	02/19/2004	Bobbie J. Evans	22192.00	3197
7	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
Richard C. Litman LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD.			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
P.O. Box 15035 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

161-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Anti-us Communication	10/780,708	EVANS, BOBBIE J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN DIO DATE di la	Joanne Silbermann	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (fror cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 June 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,7 and 8 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the consequen	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
<b>.</b>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, last paragraph, a plurality of daily calendar sheets is recited. It is not clear if these are the same as the sheets recited in line 1.

The remaining claims are rejected as depending from a rejected base claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin US #2,524,647 in view of Davis US #298,354 and Wang US #6,553,695.
- 5. Baldwin teaches a holder including base 5 having a groove therein with a flat bottom and parallel, vertical side walls, an elongated, unitary, one-piece transparent plate having front and back surfaces 13 and 15 and top, bottom and side edges, the bottom edge snugly received in the groove, and display 14 held therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,708

Art Unit: 3611

6. Baldwin does not teach the base as being a block wherein the groove extends below the front surface of the block, however this is well known in the art, as shown by Davis. Base A is a wooden block having grooves therein, some of which extend below the top surface. The grooves have flat bottom surfaces and parallel, vertical side walls extending upward therefrom. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a base so as to provide a base that will hold the display upright, as well as a base that is weighted and will not tip over.

Page 3

- 7. Baldwin (as modified) does not teach the particular size of the display, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make the holder any size necessary so that the desired display may be retained therein, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 8. Baldwin does not teach a tag applied to the base, however such tags are common in the art of displays. Wang teaches a display holder including tags 44 applied to the base. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply such tags to the display holder of Baldwin so as to personalize the display, or to make it more decorative, as is taught by Wang.
- 9. Baldwin does not teach using adhesive, however this is considered to be a well known alternative. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize adhesive to help retain the display in the base, if it is desired that it not be removed.
- 10. Baldwin also does not specifically teach using calendar indicia as the display, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display any

Art Unit: 3611

type of indicia desired. Patentable novelty cannot be principally predicated on mere printed matter and arrangements thereof, but must reside basically in physical structure. In re Montgomery, 102 USPQ 248 (CCPA 1954).

11. Baldwin also does not teach making three interchangeable slots for displays. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to make the display interchangeable so that it may be reused, or the user may choose the display. It also would have been obvious to provide three places for displays so that more displays may be shown, and also because it has been held that mere duplication of parts involves only routine skill in the art.

### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. New references have been applied in response to Applicant's new claim 8.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3611

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

JS 6 September 2005